Dev. (192 8) Departm

Mi. SERIES.

G.O. No. 2167

DATED 20-12-1928

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council question No. 84 - Shooting of a rogue el

-atmur Taluk - Papers recorded.

/Starred Question. Council office.

8-8-28

M.K.A. KARANT.

THE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.

~~(The Revenue Dept.)~~ .

*404. Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased --

(a) to/ place on the table of this House the communication L.Dis.C No. 1887/Sub '28 dated 29-5-1928 /of the President, Puttur Taluk Board, in regard to the shooting of a rogue elephant and the reply communication of the District Forest Officer concerned to the former; and

(b) to state what objections the Government have to take immediate steps themselves and have the animal shot at their own expense?

KR.

9/518.

Dw

Memo 2565 I-28-18/24-848

p 1. cf 258

A Copy of Leg 404 which Mr. K.R. Karaut proposes to ask in the ensuing meeting of the Leg. Council is forwarded to the C-C. who is requested to furnish ~~necessary~~ the information asked by the M-C-C ~~asked also send all papers Concerned connected~~ therewith

M/Edu 25/8

To the C.C Dept 27.8.28

22/8

24/8

28.8.28

5/4/9

D 4.9

p2CF

K.D. Tess 4/9

3

Dcr

O.m. 2545 I - 28 - 2 dt 39 28

Ref. Govt. memo No 2545 I - 28 - 1 dt 28-4-28

Subject: Leg. 404 — shooting of a rogue elephant.

The c.c is requested to expedite his reply to the memo above referred to.

C.C
Deo
4/5/9

1.9.28

Rej
3.9.28

4 Dev-2882 I 25-9-28

Refce. 7542/28-2. Office of the Chief Conservator of Forests, Chepauk, Madras, dated the 24th Septr. 1928

From

A. Wimbush Esq., I.F.S.,

Ag. Chief Conservator of Forests.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Development Department.

Sir,

Subject:- Legislative Council question No. 404 - shooting of rogue elephant.

---

p 2 CF

Refce. Government memo No. 2545-I/28-1 dated 24-8-28.

---

pp 6-15 wfor

With regard to (a) the file of papers on the subject, containing the references asked for, is submitted to Government.

Regarding (b) - No special Government establishment is sanctioned for the destruction of rogue elephants.

2. This Legislative Council question is of general interest in connection with the destruction of rogue elephants. Generally speaking, in my experience the prospription of a rogue elephant has resulted in sportsmen losing no time in going after it in the hopes of obtaining what is a coveted trophy. Recently I have heard of one or more instances in which sportsmen who have shot elephants have not been presented with the tusks. This has caused very great dissatisfaction in sporting circles in the Presidency where wild elephants abound. One inevitable result is bound to be that sportsmen will not be so willing as they used to be to risk their lives in going after dangerous elephants. In various localities in which I and other Forest officers have occasion to frequent elephants have become an unmitigated nuisance; only two weeks ago I myself was held up by a wild elephant and had to fire twice over its head before I could proceed along the jungle path that I was on.

2. It must not be supposed that I advocate a general campaign aginst wild elephants. I would, however, suggest to Government that, for a time, at any rate, until we see results, all notices proscribing wild elephants should contain a definite offer of the tusks to the successful sportsman.

C. Sudhu 24-9

For Ag. Chief Conservator.

K.P.N/24-9.

Notification by the District Magistrate, Mangalore, [illegible] 26th June 1928.

A large solitary male elephant having two tusks, one broken and the other about two feet long, is roaming about in the villages of Billinale, Nombar and Chirivagilu villages of the Puttur taluk and has been seen in those villages since about the beginning of June 1928.

Any one entitled to shoot game in the South Kanara district is hereby permitted to destroy the animal and if he kills it, he may apply for permission to retain the tusks. The tusks should be handed over to the Forest Range Officer until orders of the Board of Revenue, Madras, granting permission are received.

Dlo

Confl. O.m No 2545-I/28-5 Dy 26.10.28

Ref.. C.C's letter

pp 4-5 CF

Ref. No 7542-28.2 Dy 24.9.28.

Subject: Lr. Q 404 — Shooting of rogue elephant

The Govt. observe that the action of the D.F.O, South Mangalore Dn. is irregular in apparently communicating, on his own responsibility, the substance of an office note, which was intended for the Collector to the President, Taluk Board, Puttur with refce to his L. Dis c. No. 1887 Sub/1928 Dy 29.5.28.

p 6 CF.

The C.C is requested to draw the attention of the D.F.O to this irregularity, which [illegible] regard as a

25-10

26/10 matter of Vanu Venmanees.

Same as page 16 ant

"CONFIDENTIAL". Development Departmen

Memorandum No.2545-I/28-5, dated 26th October 1928.

Reference: Chief Conservator's letter Ref.No.7542-28-2, dated 24th September 1928.

Subject: Legislative Council Question No.404 - Shooting of rogue elephant.

The Government observe that the action of the District Forest Officer, South Mangalore Division, is irregular in communicating apparently on his own responsibility to the President, Taluk Board, Puthur, with reference to his L.Dis.C.No.1887 Sub/1928, dated 29th May 1928, the substance of an office note which was intended for the Collector. The Chief Conservator is requested to draw the attention of the District Forest Officer to this irregularity, which the Government regard as a matter of some seriousness.

A. McG.C.Tampoe.
Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Conservator of Forests, Madras.

Forwarded.

(By Order)

Superintendent.

Despatched 26/10.

17

Development Dept.

L.C.Question No.404

--

Mr.M.R. Karant.

--

Starred

Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state

(a) to place on the table of this house the communication L.Dis.C.No. 1887 Sub '28 dated 29-5-1928 of the President, Puttur Taluk Board, in regard to the shooting of a rogue elephant and the reply communication of the District Forest Officer concerned to the former; and

(b) to state what objections the Government have to take immediate steps themselves and have the animal shot at their own expense?

Draft ar

(a) The papers are placed on the table. [See annexure p/p 2-4 infra]

(b) No special Government establishment is maintained for the destruction of rogue elephants. The declaration of a wild elephant as a rogue is usually sufficient to attract sportsmen and special steps are being taken in this case. (the minute by Hon'ble)

Annexure

[Letter p/p. 2-6 infra]

This has been approved by H.M (Law) C.

Home

9-6-28

18 E

Extract from the Tour diary of the President, Puttur Taluk Board.

Kombar - 24th May 1928, Thursday.

* * *

Kombar Potail and some of the residents of Kombar and Bilinile village complain of the ravages of a solitary elephant which is roaming about the two villages from the past three weeks. From what I was able to gather, I think it very imperative and urgent to declare the animal a rogue and take immediate steps to have it shot down. The District Magistrate, the District Superintendent of Police and the District Forest Officer will be addressed.

* *

L.Dis.C.No.1887 Sub/1928. VERY URGENT.

Forwarded to the District Magistrate, South Kanara, the District Superintendent of Police, South Kanara and the District Forest Officer, South Mangalore for favour of taking immediate steps in the matter.

Sd. x x x
President,
Puttur Taluk Board.

Puttur Taluk Board Office,)
Puttur, 29th May 1928.)

19 5

Office-Note

Copy of letter No.1485-28-C, dated 6th June 1928, from the District Forest Officer, South Mangalore, to the Collector of South Kanara.

Sub: Elephants - rogue elephant - Kombar and Bilinale villages of Puttur Taluk.

I have had this beast declared a rogue in December 1926 alone. The notice declaring it a rogue was published on page 137 of the South Kanara district Gazette dated 24-12-1926. The description of the animal as publishedin the Gazette is as follows:- A large solitary male elephant having 2 tusks, each about 2' long, roams about in Shirady and Shirivagalu forests, of Puttur Taluk and is reported to have been seen at Shirady and Shirivagalu villages.

2. In January this year when I went to Kombar and to its hamlets further east as far as the Mysore frontier, I heard of this rogue and saw much of the damage caused by it to the crops. The elephant had caused considerable damage to paddy lands and areca gardens. Paddy lands had been badly broused and trampled; areca plants pulled down and slit open and their inner pith eaten; cocoanut plants had also been treated similarly. I heard of a few instances in which the elephant chased the watchmen in the fields when they tried to scare it way by shouting, at nights. In one case, the brute chased a young man to his very door step when he shouted to scare it away from his fields. In January, the animal used to haunt Kapar, Amchur, Perandodi and Katte of Kombar village and Hanila, Derane, Perje and Renjala of Shirivagalu villages and it roamed about from Gundia onthe north to Anavumale on the south in Puttur Taluk. I saw his fresh foot prints (about 2 days old) in Kombar reserve. From my inquiry I was convinced that this was the same beast as was notified in December 1926.

3. In the extract of his diary dated 24-5-1928, the President of the Puttur Taluk Board suggests that immediate

steps

2

Legislative Council Question No.404 (Starred). 32.4

Mr.M.R. Karant.

Will the Hon'ble the Law Member be pleased to state

(a) to place on the table of this house the communication L.Dis.C.No.1887 Sub '28 dated 29th May 1928 of the President, Puttur Taluk Board, in regard to the shooting of a rogue elephant and the reply communication of the District Forest Officer concerned to the former; and

(b) to state what objections the Government have to take immediate steps themselves and have the animal shot at their own expense?

Development Department.

Answer:

(a) The papers are placed on the table.

(b) No special Government establishment is maintained for the destruction of rogue elephants. The declaration of a wild elephant as a rogue is usually sufficient to attract sportsmen.

Fort St.George, Madras
Dated 26th October 1928.

A.McG.C. Tampoe,
Secretary to Government.

To the Legislative Council Office.

The above answer has been approved by

The Hon'ble Dewan Bahadur M. Krishnan Nair Avargal
and
The Hon'ble Sir Norman Marjoribanks, K.C.I.E., C.S.I., I.

****

Forwarded
(By Order)

26-X

S.G. 26/x.

Superintendent.

ANNEXURE.

Extract from the Tour diary of the President, Puttur Taluk Board.

Kombar - 24th May 1928, Thursday.

** ** **

Kombar Potail and some of the residents of Kombar and Bilinile village complain of the ravages of a solitary elephant which is - roaming about the two villages from the past three weeks. From what I was able to gather, I think it very imperative and urgent to declare the animal a rogue and take immediate steps to have it shot down. The District Magistrate, the District Superintendent of Police and the District Forest Officer will be addressed.

** ** **

L.Dis.C.No.1887 Sub/1928. VERY URGENT.

Forwarded to the District Magistrate, South Kanara, the District Superintendent of Police, South Kanara and the District Forest Officer, South Mangalore for favour of taking immediate steps in the matter.

sd. x x x

Puttur Taluk Board Office,
Puttur, 29th May 1928.

President,
Puttur Taluk Board.

*****

19 5

Office-Note

Copy of letter No.1485-28-C, dated 6th June 1928, from the District Forest Officer, South Mangalore, to the Collector of South Kanara.

Sub: Elephants - rogue elephant - Kombar and Bilinale villages of Puttur Taluk.

I have had this beast declared a rogue in December 1926 alone. The notice declaring it a rogue was published on page 137 of the South Kanara district Gazette dated 24-12-1926. The description of the animal as publishedin the Gazette is as follows:- A large solitary male elephant having 2 tusks, each about 2' long, roams about in Shirady and Shirivagalu forests, of Puttur Taluk and is reported to have been seen at Shirady and Shirivagalu villages.

2. In January this year when I went to Kombar and to its hamlets further east as far as the Mysore frontier, I heard of this rogue and saw much of the damage caused by it to the crops. The elephant had caused considerable damage to paddy lands and areca gardens. Paddy lands had been badly broused and trampled; areca plants pulled down and slit open and their inner pith eaten; cocoanut plants had also been treated similarly. I heard of a few instances in which the elephant chased the watchmen in the fields when they tried to scare it way by shouting, at nights. In one case, the brute chased a young man to his very door step when he shouted to scare it away from his fields. In January, the animal used to haunt Kapar, Amchur, Perandodi and Katte of Kombar village and Hanila, Derane, Perje and Renjala of Shirivagalu villages and it roamed about from Gundia onthe north to Anavumale on the south in Puttur Taluk. I saw his fresh foot prints (about 2 days old) in Kombar reserve. From my inquiry I was convinced that this was the same beast as was notified in December 1926.

3. In the extract of his diary dated 24-5-1928, the President of the Puttur Taluk Board suggests that immediate

steps

steps should be taken to have this elephant shot down. The practice in this district is this:-- All those who are entitled to shoot game in the district are permitted to shoot a declared rogue. When an elephant is declared a rogue, all those who possess shooting licenses in the district are informed of the declaration and are invited to shoot the rogue. There being no professional shikaries in the district, nothing else seems feasible. At present there is only one person who has got a license to shoot in the Reserved Forest. He is Mr. C.B.Benjamin, Assistant Commissioner of Excise, Cannanore.

4. In a case like this, the most effective way I think would be for the villagers to select the best shikari among them and get a shooting permit taken out in his name and get him to shoot the pest. In the villages most afflicted, the village Shikari may if necessary be given a free permit to shoot the rogue wherever found. Of course the villagers will have to find a suitable weapon for it. To expect a distant sportsman to run up to the spot on receipt of the "kabbar" is hardly an expeditious means to get rid of a dangerous pest.

Sd. K.G.Belliappa.
District Forest Officer,
South Kangalore.

Copy to Taluk Board President Puttur for information with reference to his L.Dis. C.No.1887/sub 1928 dated 29-5-1928.

Sd. K.G. Belliappa
D.F.O. S Kanara

2|

524

Legislative Council Question No.404 (Starred).

Mr.M.R. Karant.

Will the Hon'ble the Law Member be pleased to state

(a) to place on the table of this house the communicatior L.Dis.C.No.1887 Sub '28 dated 29th May 1928 of the President, Puttur Taluk Board, in regard to the shooting of a rogue elephant and the reply communication of the District Forest Officer concerned to the former; and

(b) to state what objections the Government have to take immediate steps themselves and have the animal shot at their own expense?

Development Department.

Answer:

(a) The papers are placed on the table.

(b) No special Government establishment is maintained for the destruction of rogue elephants. The declaration of a wild elephant as a rogue is usually sufficient to attract sportsmen.

Fort St.George, Madras
Dated 26th October 1928.

A.McG.C. Tampoe,
Secretary to Government.

To the Legislative Council Office.

The above answer has been approved by

The Hon'ble Dewan Bahadur M. Krishnan Nair Avargal
and
The Hon'ble Sir Norman Marjoribanks, K.C.I.E., C.S.I., I.C.S

****

Forwarde
(By Order)

26-X

Superintendent.

S.G. 26/x.

Office Note No. 1485-28-C, dated 6th June 1928, from the District Forest Officer, South Kanagalore, to the Collector of South Kanara.

Sub: Elephants - rogue elephant - Kombar and Bilinale villages of Puttur Taluk.

I have had this beast declared a rogue in December 1926 alone. The notice declaring it a rogue was published on page 137 of the South Kanara district Gazette dated 24-12-1926. The description of the animal as published in the Gazette is as follows:- A large solitary male elephant having 2 tusks, each about 2' long, roams about in Shirady and Shirivagalu forests, of Puttur Taluk and is reported to have been seen at Shirady and Shirivagalu villages.

2. In January this year when I went to Kombar and to its hamlets further east as far as the Mysore frontier, I heard of this rogue and saw much of the damage caused by it to the crops. The elephant had caused considerable damage to paddy lands and areca gardens. Paddy lands had been badly broused and trampled; areca plants pulled down and slit open and their inner pith eaten; cocoanut plants had also been treated similarly. I heard of a few instances in which the elephant chased the watchmen in the fields when they tried to scare it way by shouting, at nights. In one case, the brute chased a young man to his very door step when he shouted to scare it away from his fields. In January, the animal used to haunt Kapar, Amchur Perandodi and Katte of Kombar village and Hanila, Derane, Perje and Renjala of Shirivagalu villages and it roamed about from Gundia on the north to Anavumale on the south in Puttur Taluk. I saw his fresh foot prints (about 2 days old) in Kombar reserve. From my inquiry I was convinced that this was the same beast as was notified in December 1926.

3. In the extract of his diary dated 24-5-1928, the President of the Puttur Taluk Board suggests that immediate steps should be taken to have this elephant shot down. The practice in this district is this:- All those who are entitled to shoot game in the district are permitted to shoot a declared rogue. When an elephant is declared a rogue, all those who possess shooting licenses in the district are informed of the declaration and are invited to shoot the rogue.

There being no professional shikaries in the district, nothing else seems feasible. At present there is only one person who has got a license to shoot in the Reserved forest. He is Mr. C.B. Beadnell, Assistant Commissioner of Excise, Cannanore.

4. In a case like this, the most effective way I think would be for the villagers to select the best shikari among them to get a shooting permit taken out in his namenand get him to shoot the pest. In the villages most afflicted, the village Shikari may if necessary be given a free permit to shoot the rogue wherever found. Of course the villagers will have to find a suitable weapon for it. To expect a distant sportsman to run up the spot on receipt of the "kabbar" is hardly an expeditious means to get rid of a dangerous pest.

Shooting of a rogue elephant in Puttur taluk.

*624 Q.—Mr. K. R. KARANT: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased—

(*a*) to place on the table of this House the communication L. Dis. C. No. 1887/Sub. 28, dated 29th May 1928, of the President, Puttur Taluk Board, in regard to the shooting of a rogue elephant and the reply communication of the District Forest Officer concerned to the former; and

(*b*) to state what objections the Government have to take immediate steps themselves and have the animal shot at their own expense?

A.—(*a*) The papers are placed on the table.

(*b*) No special Government establishment is maintained for the destruction of rogue elephants. The declaration of a wild elephant as a rogue is usually sufficient to attract sportsmen.

Mr. K. R. KARANT:—"In view of the fact that this elephant has been out at large for over two years, do the Government consider that simply notifying it is enough?"

The hon. Diwan Bahadur M. KRISHNAN NAYAR:—"The District Forest Officer has also suggested to the Collector the need for granting a special permit to a very good shikari, one Kalleri Ramachandra Ayyar, to go and shoot even in the reserved forest."

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR:—"Any reward, Sir?"

The hon. Diwan Bahadur M. KRISHNAN NAYAR:—"As a matter of fact, the tusks in such cases are given, if applied for."

[Vide answer to question No. 624 asked by Mr. K. R. Karant at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 26th November 1928, page 25 supra.]

Extract from the Tour diary of the President, Puttur Taluk Board.

[Kombar—24th May 1928, Thursday.]

* * * *

Kombar Potail and some of the residents of Kombar and Bilinile villages complain of the ravages of a solitary elephant which is roaming about the two villages from the past three weeks. From what I was able to gather, I think it very imperative and urgent to declare the animal a rogue and take immediate steps to have it shot down. The District Magistrate, the District Superintendent of Police and the District Forest Officer will be addressed.

* * * * *

Endorsement of the President, Taluk Board, Puttur, L. Dis. C. No. 1887-*Sub*/1928, *dated* 29*th May* 1928.

Forwarded to the District Magistrate, South Kanara, the District Superintendent of Police, South Kanara, and the District Forest Officer, South Mangalore, for favour of taking immediate steps in the matter.

Office-note from the District Forest Officer, South Mangalore, to the Collector of South Kanara, dated 6th June 1928, No. 1485/28-C.

[Elephants—Rogue elephant—Kombar and Bilinile villages of Puttur taluk.]

I have had this beast declared a rogue in December 1926 alone. The notice declaring it a rogue was published on page 137 of the *South Kanara District Gazette*, dated 24th December 1926. The description of the animal as published in the gazette is as follows:—"A large solitary male elephant having two tusks, each about two feet long, roams about in Shirady and Shirivagalu forests of Puttur taluk and is reported to have been seen at Shirady and Shirivagalu villages."

2. In January this year when I went to Kombar and to its hamlets further east as far as the Mysore frontier, I heard of this rogue and saw much of the damage caused by it to the crops. The elephant had caused considerable damage to paddy lands and areca gardens. Paddy lands had been badly broused and trampled; areca plants pulled down and slit open and their inner pith eaten; coconut plants had also been treated similarly. I heard of a few instances in which the elephant chased the watchmen in the fields when they tried to scare it away by shouting, at nights. In one case, the brute chased a young man to his very door step when he shouted to scare it away from his fields. In January, the animal used to haunt Kapar, Amchur, Perandodi and Katte of Kombar village and Hanila, Derane, Perje and Renjala of Shirivagalu villages and it roamed about from Gundia on the north to Anavumale on the south in Puttur taluk. I saw his fresh foot prints (about two days old) in Kombar reserve. From my inquiry I was convinced that this was the same beast as was notified in December 1926.

3. In the extract of his diary, dated 24th May 1928, the President of the Puttur Taluk Board suggests that immediate steps should be taken to have this beast shot down. The practice in this district is this:—All those who

are entitled to shoot game in the district are permitted to shoot a declared rogue. When an elephant is declared a rogue, all those who possess shooting licences in the district are informed of the declaration and are invited to shoot the rogue. There being no professional shikaries in the district, nothing else seems feasible. At present there is only one person who has got a licence to shoot in the reserved forest. He is Mr. C. B. Beadnell, Assistant Commissioner of Excise, Cannanore.

4. In a case like this, the most effective way, I think, would be for the villagers to elect the best shikari among them to get a shooting permit taken out in his name and get him to shoot the pest. In the villages most afflicted, the village shikari may, if necessary, be given a free permit to shoot the rogue wherever found. Of course, the villagers will have to find a suitable weapon for it. To expect a distant sportsman to run up the spot on receipt of the 'kabbar' is hardly an expeditious means to get rid of a dangerous pest.

Law Dept. III-8—3,000—3-12-27.

QUESTION.—No. 404 for the meeting of the Legislative Council to be held on the 3rd Sept 1928.

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the Development Department.

The Question has been admitted.

Secretary
22-8-28

U.O. No. , *dated*

To

The Development Department.



To us.

Please see Lr. 9 404 (Starred) at p. 1 CF. The papers referred to by the M. Lr. ~~does not appear to~~ have not been received in this Office. Nor is there any information on the subject. The C. C. may be asked to furnish necessary particulars ~~and also send the relevant papers~~. A draft memo is submitted for approval.

22/18
MY
28.8.28

To us.

The C. C. has not yet replied. He may be reminded. A draft memo is submitted for approval

1.9.28
MY
2.9.28

31/8/28

(Issued as Om. No 2545-I-28-(9) 24.8.28)
(From the CE. Ref 7542/28-28 24.9.28)

P.T.O

Please see C.C.'s reply at pp 4-5 CF. A draft answer is submitted for approval.

26/9) It may go to Admiralty for acceptance with reference to Clause (b) of the question.

29 SEP 1928

27/9/28

28.9.

Rec.

2545 I-28-3

28-9-28

To [redacted]

Draft for acceptance.

2002
1.10.28

1/10/28

In answer to (b), it might advisable to add that a declaration of a wish to surrender a rogue is usually supplemented by all the particulars of the special steps that are being taken in this case.

Perhaps also H.M.C. may see the letter from the C.C.

2.10.28

Rec'd 29 SEP 28
3 OCT 28

Dear

S. Gui

H.M. CONSULATE

Ms.

Please see Rev. Secy's note at p 3 ante. A neat copy of the draft answer embodying the suggestion of the Rev. Secy is submitted for approval. The file may be circulated thereafter.

7/10
Reg
7/10

H.
4/10/28.
5 4/5/28.

7-10-28.

Johnson like to see a separate letter, formal reply it is proposed to be given in this case.

I can put on record of the Pvt. Comm. at p 6 of the current file the Advising the D.F.O. answer. Johnson like to see what it is before putting it.

(2) Please also let me have a reference to the paper which states what special steps are being taken in this case

Ms
8/10

To us

Please see ~~A~~ H.M. C4's remarks on p. 6 ante. For orders whether the draft answer may issue ~~after~~ with the omission of the ~~two~~ last nine words of clause b. of the answer.

Ile
24/10
24-

May 25 me
25.
24/10/24
C 24/10.

I think the attention of the S.F.O. should be drawn to the irregularity involved in Communicating on his own responsibility the substance of an [illegible] for the Colonies the Home/C4 [illegible]

Draft a
sent to
Home
Ile
25-7/10

[illegible] 24-10

To us

With reference to Secy's remarks above a draft O.M. is submitted for approval.

16/10/24

8

U.S

Please see the printed proceeding at pages 25-26 of. The papers may be recorded. A draft order is submitted for approval

28/12 29/12/45.

Sectt\ II-5 (a)—38,004—26-10-27. (6)

Dev DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..	20/12/28	
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

Legislative Council Question
No 624 — Shooting of a rogue elephant in Puttur taluk — Papers recorded

READ AGAIN G.O. No....., dated ..... 192 .

" " " " ..... " ..... 192 .

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 2565-1/~~From~~ the CC, No. 2565-1/28-1, dated 24-8-1928.

" " From the CC " 3542/28-2 " 2-9-1928.

" " To the CC " 2565-1/28-5 " 26-10-1928.

ORDER. No. 2169, dated 20-12-1928

Recorded

Yes

CC

Dpd. by Van

Dpd's. initial 21/12

Date 192 .

18/12